


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**



TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Houston  
 FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/22/2008  
 CASE NO.: 08cv883 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Hennington, Jr.  
 CASE TITLE: Hennington, Jr. V. VA San Diego Healthcare System  
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte Application for Default Judgment

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: 5.1 Improper Format; 5.2 Missing Proof of Service</u>

Date forwarded: 8/25/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. YNA Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 8/26/08  
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

1 Ex-Parte Application  
2 for Default - Judgment  
3

**REJECTED**

4 TO the Honorable Judge  
5 John A. Houston [Attention]  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10  
11 ALVIN HENNINGTON JR.

12 Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 08CV0883-  
WQHATB

13 vs.  
14 U.S. Government A.K.A. V.A.  
San Diego Healthcare  
System

Defendant.  
15

16 Request Court Judgment  
17 in the Amount of \$175 million  
18 Dollars,  
19 Against Defendants Names:  
20

21 V.A. San Diego Healthcare  
22 System, For Plaintiff,  
23  
24 ALVIN HENNINGTON JR.  
25  
26  
27  
28